

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/JP03/01762

Box I Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)

This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:

1. ☐ Claims Nos.:

because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:

2. ☐ Claims Nos.:

because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:

3. ☐ Claims Nos.:

because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).

Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)

This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:

Claims 2, 3, 5-7, 9-25, 27, 28, 33 quote Claim 1. Therefore, the matter common to Claims 2, 3, 5-7, 9-25, 27, 28, 33 is the matter given in Claim 1.

However, a body fluid absorbing product having an air-permeable top sheet and a water absorbing member disposed therein, which water absorbing member is composed by laminating a plurality of synthetic fiber non-woven fabric layers, is disclosed in JP 2001-286505 A (Kao Corporation), 16 October, 2001, while the use of fiber whose fineness ranges from 0.01 dtex to 2 dtex for an absorbing member is a known technique in the technical field of absorbing body products (for example, refer to JP 2-31755 A (Daiou Paper Co., Ltd) 01 (continued to extra sheet)

1. ☐ As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.2. ☐ As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.3. ☒ As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 1-44. ☐ No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:Remark on Protest ☐ The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.☒ No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

FORM PCT/ISA/210 (1) (July 1998)

Form PCT/ISA/210 (continuation of first sheet (1)) (July 1998)

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/JP03/01762

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
Int.Cl⁷ A61F13/53

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)
Int.Cl⁷ A61F13/15-13/84

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Jitsuyo Shinan Koho	1926-1996	Toroku Jitsuyo Shinan Koho	1994-2003
Kokai Jitsuyo Shinan Koho	1971-2003	Jitsuyo Shinan Toroku Koho	1996-2003

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y A	JP 2001-286505 A (Kao Corp.), 16 October, 2001 (16.10.01), Full text (Family: none)	1, 2 3, 4
Y	JP 2-31755 A (Daio Paper Corp.), 01 February, 1990 (01.02.90), Full text (Family: none)	1, 2
Y	JP 1-97255 A (Toray Industries, Inc.), 14 April, 1989 (14.04.89), Full text (Family: none)	1, 2

☐

Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.

☐

See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:
 "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
 "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
 "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
 "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
 "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
 "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
 "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
 "&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search
16 May, 2003 (16.05.03)

Date of mailing of the international search report
27 May, 2003 (27.05.03)

Name and mailing address of the ISA/
Japanese Patent Office

Authorized officer

Facsimile No.

Telephone No.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/JP03/01762

Continuation of Box No.II of continuation of first sheet (1)

February, 1990, JP 1-97255 A (Toray Industries Inc.) 14 April, 1989, etc.). AS considered in the light of these facts, as a result, the matter common to Claims 2, 3, 5-7, 9-25, 27, 28, 33 is no better than the prior art. Thus, in the sense of the second sentence in PCT Rule 13.2 ("special technical feature" means a technical feature such that the individual inventions described in Claims, as a whole, clearly show their contribution to the prior art.), this common matter is not a special technical feature.

Therefore, it is obvious that Claims 2, 3, 5-7, 9-25, 27, 28, 33 do not comply with the requirement of unity of invention.

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)